

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/449,660	11/30/1999	JAMES WICHELMAN	10001186	6543
22878	7590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			VOLPER, THOMAS E	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT.				D. DED AND (DED
P.O. BOX 7599 M/S DL429			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	
LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599			DATE MALLED 10/0/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/449,660 WICHELMAN ET AL. Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit** Thomas Volper 2665 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below): (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_ 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The al affidavit, ble exhibit, or clear request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other:

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
Part of Paper No. 10012004

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Chappell reference provides for displaying test results, such as spectrum measurements. Although the measurements are performed at the headend, and then sent to the field client, this still meets the limitation of node level display logic as in the present invention. The independent claims do not specify displaying the results at a headend, nor do they specify where or how the measurements used to achieve test results are made. The claims simply state that display logic is used to show test results. Caporizzo and Chen are relied upon to suggest the features of group and channel results. The motivation for displaying these other results is clearly to achieve a comprehensive view of a cable network and to narrow down error sources within the network.